Machine Learning II

Bjoern Andres, Jannik Irmai, Jannik Presberger, David Stein

Machine Learning for Computer Vision TU Dresden



Summer Term 2024

Partial Optimality in Graphical Model Inference

Outline

- Literature
- Notation
- Pseudo-Boolean functions
- Multi-linear polynomial forms
 - Existence and uniqueness
 - Reduction of PBO to QPBO
- Posiforms
 - Existence
 - Bounds
 - Weak persistency
 - Complementation and the Floor Dual Bound

This lecture is based on the publications

- E. Boros, P. L. Hammer, X. Sun: Network flows and minimization of quadratic pseudo-Boolean functions. RUTCOR Research Report 17-1991
- E. Boros, P. L. Hammer: Pseudo-Boolean optimization. Discrete Applied Mathematics 123(1–3): 155–225 (2002)
- ▶ E. Boros, P. L. Hammer, R. Sun, G. Tavares: A max-flow approach to improved lower bounds for quadratic unconstrained binary optimization (QUBO). Discrete Optimization 5(2): 501–529 (2008)

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any $d \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$, let

$$J_{nd} := \bigcup_{m=0}^{d} \binom{\{1,\ldots,n\}}{d} \qquad C_{nd} := \mathbb{R}^{J_{nd}}$$
(1)

and call any $c \in C_{nd}$ an *n*-variate multi-linear polynomial form of degree at most *d*.

Example. For n = d = 2, we have

$$J_{22} = \bigcup_{m=0}^{2} \binom{\{1,2\}}{m}$$
$$= \binom{\{1,2\}}{0} \cup \binom{\{1,2\}}{1} \cup \binom{\{1,2\}}{2}$$
$$= \{\emptyset\} \cup \{\{1\},\{2\}\} \cup \{\{1,2\}\}$$
$$= \{\emptyset,\{1\},\{2\},\{1,2\}\}$$

Definition 2 For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any $d \in \{0, ..., n\}$ and any $c \in C_{nd}$, $f_c : \{0, 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\forall x \in \{0,1\}^n \colon f_c(x) := \sum_{m=0}^d \sum_{J \in \binom{\{1,\dots,n\}}{m}} c_J \prod_{j \in J} x_j$$
(2)

is called the PBF defined by c.

Example. For any $c \in C_{22}$, $f_c : \{0,1\}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is such that

$$\forall x \in \{0,1\}^2: \quad f_c(x_1, x_2) = c_{\emptyset} + c_{\{1\}}x_1 + c_{\{2\}}x_2 + c_{\{1,2\}}x_1x_2 = c_{\emptyset} + c_{\{1,2\}}x_1x_2 = c_{\emptyset} + c_{\{1,2\}}x_1x_2 = c_{\emptyset} + c_{\{1,2\}}x_1x_2 = c_{\emptyset} + c_{\{1,2\}}x_1 + c_{\{2\}}x_2 + c_{\{1,2\}}x_1 + c_{\{2\}}x_2 = c_{\emptyset} + c_{\{1,2\}}x_1 + c_{\{2\}}x_2 + c_{\{1,2\}}x_1 + c_{\{2\}}x_2 = c_{\emptyset} + c_{\{1,2\}}x_1 + c_{\{2\}}x_2 + c_{\{1,2\}}x_1 + c_{\{2\}}x_2 = c_{\emptyset} + c_{\{1,2\}}x_1 + c_{\{2\}}x_2 + c_{\{1,2\}}x_1 + c_{\{2\}}x_2 = c_{\emptyset} + c_{\{1,2\}}x_1 + c_{\{2\}}x_2 + c_{\{1,2\}}x_1 + c_{\{2\}}x_2 = c_{\emptyset} + c_{\{1,2\}}x_1 + c_{\{2\}}x_2 + c_{\{1,2\}}x_1 + c_{\{2\}}x_2 + c_{\{2\}}x_2 + c_{\{3,2\}}x_1 + c_{\{3,3\}}x_2 = c_{\emptyset} + c_{\{3,3\}}x_1 + c_{\{3,3\}}x_2 + c_{\{3,3\}}x_1 + c_{\{3,3\}}x_2 = c_{\emptyset} + c_{\{3,3\}}x_1 + c_{\{3,3\}}x_2 + c_{\{3,3\}}x_1 + c_{\{3,3\}}x_2 + c_{\{3,3\}}x_2$$

Lemma 1

Every PBF has a unique multi-linear polynomial form. More precisely,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall f : \{0, 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R} \quad \exists_1 c \in C_{nn} \quad f = f_c \quad . \tag{3}$$

Example. For n = d = 2 and any $f : \{0, 1\}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, the existence of a $c \in C_{22}$ such that $f = f_c$ means

$$\forall x \in \{0,1\}^2 \quad f(x_1, x_2) = c_{\emptyset} + c_{\{1\}} x_1 + c_{\{2\}} x_2 + c_{\{1,2\}} x_1 x_2$$

Explicitly,

$$\begin{split} f(0,0) &= c_{\emptyset} \\ f(1,0) &= c_{\emptyset} + c_{\{1\}} \\ f(0,1) &= c_{\emptyset} + c_{\{2\}} \\ f(1,1) &= c_{\emptyset} + c_{\{1\}} + c_{\{2\}} + c_{\{1,2\}} \end{split}$$

In this example, a suitable c exists and is defined uniquely by f.

Proof.

• For any $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$, let $x^J \in \{0, 1\}^n$ such that

$$\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \colon \quad x_j^J = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \in J \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now,

$$\forall x \in \{0,1\}^n \colon \qquad f(x) = \sum_{J \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}} c_J \prod_{j \in J} x_j$$

is written equivalently as

$$f(x^{\emptyset}) = c_{\emptyset}$$

$$\forall J \neq \emptyset: \quad f(x^J) = c_J + \sum_{J' \subset J} c_{J'} .$$

▶ Thus, c is defined uniquely (by induction over the cardinality of J).

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $d \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$, let

$$F_{nd} := \{f : \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R} \mid \exists c \in C_{nd} : f = f_c\}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

and call any $f \in F_{nd}$ an *n*-variate PBF of degree at most *d*. In addition, call any $f \in F_{n2}$ a quadratic PBF (QPBF).

Note. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, F_{nn} is the set of all *n*-variate PBFs (by Lemma 1).

• Pseudo-Boolean Optimization (PBO): Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f : \{0, 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\min_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} f(x) \ . \tag{5}$$

• Quadratic Pseudo-Boolean Optimization (QPBO): Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in F_{n2}$,

$$\min_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} f(x) \ . \tag{6}$$

Is QPBO less complex than PBO?

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $c \in C_{nn}$, define the size of c as

$$size(c) := \sum_{J \subseteq \{1,...,n\}: \ c_J \neq 0} |J|$$
. (7)

Lemma 2 For any $x, y, z \in \{0, 1\}$: $z = xy \iff xy - 2xz - 2yz + 3z = 0$, (8) $z \neq xy \iff xy - 2xz - 2yz + 3z > 0$. (9)

Proof. By verifying equivalence for all eight cases.

Algorithm 1 (Boros and Hammer 2001)

Input: $c \in C_{nn}$ **Output**: $c' \in C_{n2}$ $M := 1 + 2 \sum_{J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} |c_J|$ m := n $c^m := c$ while there exists a $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that |J| > 2 and $c_I^m \neq 0$ Choose $j, k \in J$ such that $j \neq k$ $c^{m+1} := c^m$ $\begin{array}{l} c_{\{j,k\}}^{m+1} := c_{\{j,k\}}^{m+1} + M \\ c_{\{j,m+1\}}^{m+1} := -2M \\ c_{\{k,m+1\}}^{m+1} := -2M \\ c_{\{m+1\}}^{m+1} := 3M \end{array}$ for all $\{j,k\} \subseteq J' \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}$ such that $c_{J'}^{m+1} \neq 0$ $c_{J'-1}^{m+1}(j,k) \cup \{m+1\}} := c_{J'}^{m+1}$ $c_{II}^{m+1} := 0$ m := m + 1 $c' := c^m$

Theorem 1

- ► Algorithm 1 terminates in polynomial time in size(c).
- size(c') is polynomially bounded by size(c).
- The multi-linear quadratic form c' is such that $\forall \hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\hat{x} \in \underset{x \in \{0,1\}^{n}}{\operatorname{argmin}} f_{c}(x)
\Rightarrow \exists \hat{x}' \in \{0,1\}^{m} \left(\hat{x}'_{\{1,\dots,n\}} = \hat{x}_{\{1,\dots,n\}} \land \hat{x}' \in \underset{x' \in \{0,1\}^{m}}{\operatorname{argmin}} f_{c'}(x') \right) . \quad (10)$$

Proof.

► The algorithm replaces the occurrence of
$$x_j x_k$$
 by x_{m+1} and adds the form $M(x_j x_k - 2x_j x_{m+1} - 2x_k x_{m+1} + 3x_{m+1})$.
► If $x_{m+1} = x_j x_k$,
$$f^{m+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}) = f^m(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \max_{x' \in \{0,1\}^n} f^m(x') < M/2$$
.
► If $x_{m+1} \neq x_j x_k$,
$$f^{m+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}) \geq M/2$$

(by Lemma 2 and by definition of M).

► For every iteration *m*,

 $|\{J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}||J| > 2 \wedge c_J^{m+1} \neq 0\}| < |\{J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}||J| > 2 \wedge c_J^m \neq 0\}|$

which proves the complexity claims.

Summary

- Every PBF has a unique multi-linear polynomial form.
- ▶ PBO is polynomially reducible to QPBO.

Definition 5 For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $d \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, let $K_{nd}^+ := \{(K^1, K^0) | K^1, K^0 \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \land K^1 \cap K^0 = \emptyset \land | K^1 | + | K^0 | = d\}$ $J_{nd}^+ := \bigcup_{m=0}^d K_{nm}^+$ $C_{nd}^+ := \{c : J_{nd}^+ \to \mathbb{R} \mid \forall j \in J_{nd}^+ \setminus \{(\emptyset, \emptyset)\} : 0 \leq c_j\}$

and call any $c \in C_{nd}^+$ an *n*-variate posiform of degree at most *d*.

Example. For n = d = 2,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{22}^+ &= \{ (\emptyset, \emptyset) \} \\ &\cup \{ (\{1\}, \emptyset), (\emptyset, \{1\}), (\{2\}, \emptyset), (\emptyset, \{2\}) \} \\ &\cup \{ (\{1, 2\}, \emptyset), (\{1\}, \{2\}), (\{2\}, \{1\}), (\emptyset, \{1, 2\}) \} \end{aligned}$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any $d \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and any $c \in C_{nd}^+$, $f_c : \{0, 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\forall x \in \{0,1\}^n \qquad f_c(x) := \sum_{(J^1,J^0) \in J_{nd}^+} c_{J^1J^0} \prod_{j \in J^1} x_j \prod_{j' \in J^0} (1-x'_j) \tag{11}$$

is called the PBF defined by c.

Example. For any $c \in C_{22}^+$, $f_c : \{0,1\}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is such that $\forall x \in \{0,1\}^2$:

$$\begin{split} f(x) &= \ c_{\emptyset\emptyset} \\ &+ c_{\{1\}\emptyset}x_1 + c_{\emptyset\{1\}}(1-x_1) + c_{\{2\}\emptyset}x_2 + c_{\emptyset\{2\}}(1-x_2) \\ &+ c_{\{1,2\}\emptyset}x_1x_2 + c_{\{1\}\{2\}}x_1(1-x_2) + c_{\{2\}\{1\}}(1-x_1)x_2 \\ &+ c_{\emptyset\{1,2\}}(1-x_1)(1-x_2) \ . \end{split}$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, the posiform defined by

$$\forall x \in \{0,1\}^n : \quad K_x^1 := \{j \in \{1,\dots,n\} | x_j = 1\}$$
$$K_x^0 := \{j \in \{1,\dots,n\} | x_j = 0\}$$

and

$$J := \{(\emptyset, \emptyset)\} \cup \bigcup_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \{(K_x^1, K_x^0)\}$$

and $c:J\to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\emptyset\emptyset} &:= \min_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} f(x) \\ \forall x \in \{0,1\}^n \quad c_{K_x^1 K_x^0} &:= f(x) - c_{\emptyset\emptyset} \end{aligned}$$

is called min-term posiform of f.

Lemma 3

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $f : \{0, 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, the min-term posiform c of f holds $f_c = f$.

Corollary 1

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $f : \{0, 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, there exists a posiform $c \in C_{nn}^+$ such that $f_c = f$.

Proof of Lemma 3.

- ▶ Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f : \{0, 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, let $c : J \to \mathbb{R}$ the min-term posiform of f.
- c is a posiform (by definition).
- Let $g: \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be the PBF defined by this posiform.
- Then, for any $x \in \{0,1\}^n$,

$$(J^1, J^0) \in \{(\emptyset, \emptyset), (K^1_x, K^0_x)\} \subseteq J$$

are the only elements of \boldsymbol{J} for which

$$0 \neq \prod_{j \in J^1} x_j \prod_{j' \in J^0} (1 - x'_j) = 1$$
.

► Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in \{0,1\}^n \qquad g(x) &= c_{\emptyset\emptyset} + c_{K_x^1 K_x^0} \\ &= c_{\emptyset\emptyset} + f(x) - c_{\emptyset\emptyset} \qquad \text{(by definition of } c\text{)} \\ &= f(x) \end{aligned}$$

Note. Unlike multi-linear polynomial forms, posiforms of PBFs need not be unique, e.g., $x_1 = x_1x_2 + x_1(1 - x_2)$.

Definition 8 For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and any $d \in \{0,\ldots,n\}$, let $C^+_{nd}(f) := \left\{ c \in C^+_{nd} \mid f_c = f \right\}$ (12)

Note. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $f : \{0, 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $C_{nn}^+(f)$ contains at least the min-term posiform of f.

Lemma 4

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall f : \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R} \quad \forall c \in C_{nn}^+(f) \quad \forall x \in \{0,1\}^n \quad c_{\emptyset\emptyset} \le f(x) \ .$

Proof.

• By definition, we have, for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$,

$$\begin{split} f(x) &= \sum_{m=0}^{d} \sum_{(K^1, K^0) \in K_{nm}^+} c_{K^1 K^0} \prod_{j \in K^1} x_j \prod_{j' \in K^0} (1 - x'_j) \\ &= c_{\emptyset \emptyset} + \sum_{m=1}^{d} \sum_{(K^1, K^0) \in K_{nm}^+} c_{K^1 K^0} \prod_{j \in K^1} x_j \prod_{j' \in K^0} (1 - x'_j) \end{split}$$

and all coefficients $c_{K^1K^0}$ in the second sum are non-negative.

- ► Therefore, the second sum is non-negative.
- ► Thus,

$$\forall x \in \{0,1\}^n \qquad f(x) \ge c_{\emptyset\emptyset} \ .$$

For any posiform $c: J \to \mathbb{R}$, a pair (S, y) such that $S \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $y: S \to \{0, 1\}$ is called a contractor of c iff

$$\forall (J^1, J^0) \in J \qquad (J^1 \cap S = \emptyset \land J^0 \cap S = \emptyset) \lor (\exists j \in J^1 \cap S \quad y_j = 0) \lor (\exists j \in J^0 \cap S \quad y_j = 1) .$$
(13)

Lemma 5

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, any posiform $c \in C^+_{nn}(f)$, any contractor (S,y) of c and $t_{S,y} : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$ such that

$$\forall x \in \{0,1\}^n \quad \forall j \in \{1,\dots,n\} \quad (t_{S,y}(x))_j = \begin{cases} y_j & \text{if } j \in S\\ x_j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(14)

holds

$$\forall x \in \{0,1\}^n \quad f(t_{S,y}(x)) \le f(x)$$
 (15)

Corollary 2 (weak persistency)

$$\hat{x} \in \underset{x \in \{0,1\}^n}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(x) \implies t_{S,y}(\hat{x}) \in \underset{x \in \{0,1\}^n}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(x)$$
(16)

Proof of Lemma 5.

• Let
$$J^{\overline{S}} := \{(J^1, J^0) \in J_{nn}^+ \mid J^1 \cap S = J^0 \cap S = \emptyset\}$$
 and $J^S := J - J^{\overline{S}}$.

By definition,

$$\forall x \in \{0,1\}^n \qquad f(x) = \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{(J^1,J^0) \in J^S} c_{J^1J^0} \prod_{j \in J^1} x_j \prod_{j' \in J^0} (1-x'_j)}_{=:f^S(x)} + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{(J^1,J^0) \in J^{\bar{S}} \ j \in J^1}}_{=:f^{\bar{S}}(x)} \prod_{j' \in J^0} (1-x'_j)}_{=:f^{\bar{S}}(x)} .$$

► Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in \{0,1\}^n \qquad f^S(t_{S,y}(x)) &= 0 \qquad \qquad \text{(by definition)} \\ 0 &\leq f^S(x) \qquad \qquad \text{(because } (\emptyset,\emptyset) \not\in J^S) \\ f^{\bar{S}}(t_{S,y}(x)) &= f^{\bar{S}}(x) \qquad \qquad \text{(by definition)} \end{aligned}$$

Summary

- Every PBF has a posiform
- ► The posiform of a PBF need not be unique
- For every PBF f and every posiform c of f
 - $c_{\emptyset\emptyset}$ is a lower bound on the minimum of f
 - \blacktriangleright weak persistency holds at any contractor of c

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider *n*-variate **quadratic** forms:

• any multi-linear polynomial form $c \in C_{n2}$ and $f_c : \{0, 1\}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, i.e., for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$,

$$f_c(x) = c_{\emptyset} + \sum_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} c_{\{j\}} x_j + \sum_{\{j, k\} \in \binom{\{1, \dots, n\}}{2}} c_{\{j, k\}} x_j x_k$$

• any posiform $c' \in C_{n2}^+$ and $f'_c : \{0,1\}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, i.e., for all $x \in \{0,1\}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} f'_{c'}(x) &= c'_{\emptyset\emptyset} + \sum_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \left(c'_{\{j\}\emptyset} x_j + c'_{\emptyset\{j\}} (1 - x_j) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\{j,k\} \in \binom{\{1, \dots, n\}}{2}} \left(c'_{\{j,k\}\emptyset} x_j x_k + c'_{\{j\}\{k\}} x_j (1 - x_k) \right) \\ &+ c'_{\{k\}\{j\}} x_k (1 - x_j) + c'_{\emptyset\{j,k\}} (1 - x_j) (1 - x_k)) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any QPBF $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, the $c \in C_{n2}$ such that $f_c = f$ and any $c' \in C_{n2}^+(f)$ holds

$$c_{\emptyset} = c'_{\emptyset\emptyset} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} c'_{\emptyset\{j\}} + \sum_{\{j,k\} \in \binom{\{1,\dots,n\}}{2}} c'_{\emptyset\{j,k\}}$$
$$\forall j \in \{1,\dots,n\} \quad c_{\{j\}} = c'_{\{j\}\emptyset} - c'_{\emptyset\{j\}} + \sum_{k \in \{1,\dots,n\} - \{j\}} \left(c'_{\{j\}\{k\}} - c'_{\emptyset\{j,k\}}\right)$$
$$\forall \{j,k\} \in \binom{\{1,\dots,n\}}{2} \quad c_{\{j,k\}\emptyset} = c'_{\{j,k\}\emptyset} + c'_{\emptyset\{j,k\}} - c'_{\{j\}\{k\}} - c'_{\{k\}\{j\}}$$

Proof.

- \blacktriangleright Expansion of the posiform c' yields a quadratic multi-linear polynomial form.
- Comparison with c yields the conditions stated in the Lemma.

Definition 10 (Complementation)

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any QPBF $f : \{0, 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$r_f := \max_{c' \in C_{n2}^+(f)} c'_{\emptyset\emptyset} \tag{17}$$

is called the floor dual of f.

Lemma 7

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any QPBF $f : \{0, 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, the floor dual can be computed in polynomial time.

Proof. For the multi-linear polynomial form $c \in C_{n2}$ such that $f_c = f$, r_f is the solution of the linear programming problem below (by Lemma 6).

$$\begin{split} \max_{\substack{c': J_{n2}^+ \to \mathbb{R} \\ d \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ \forall \{j, k\} \in \binom{\{1, \dots, n\}}{2} c_{\{j\}} = c'_{\{j\}\emptyset} - c'_{\emptyset\{j\}} + \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1, \dots, n\} - \{j\}}} \left(c'_{\{j\}\{k\}} - c'_{\emptyset\{j,k\}}\right) \\ \forall \{j, k\} \in \binom{\{1, \dots, n\}}{2} c_{\{j,k\}} = c'_{\{j,k\}\emptyset} + c'_{\emptyset\{j,k\}} - c'_{\{j\}\{k\}} - c'_{\{k\}\{j\}} \\ \forall J \in J_{n2}^+ - \{(\emptyset, \emptyset)\} \\ 0 \le c'_J . \end{split}$$

Can the floor dual be computed more efficiently than by an algorithm for general LPs?

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $c \in C_{n2}^+$, the network N = (V, E, s, t, w) of c contains the nodes $V = \{s, t, 1, \overline{1}, \dots, n, \overline{n}\}$ and the weighted edges

for any $c_{\{j\}\emptyset} > 0$	$sar{j}, jt$	$w_{s\bar{j}} := w_{jt} := \frac{1}{2}c_{\{j\}\emptyset}$
for any $c_{\emptyset\{j\}} > 0$	$sj,ar{j}t$	$w_{sj} := w_{\overline{j}t} := \frac{1}{2} c_{\emptyset\{j\}}$
for any $c_{\{j,k\}\emptyset} > 0$	$jar{k},kar{j}$	$w_{j\bar{k}} := w_{k\bar{j}} := \frac{1}{2}c_{\{j,k\}\emptyset}$
for any $c_{\{j\}\{k\}} > 0$	$jk,ar{k}ar{j}$	$w_{jk} := w_{\bar{k}\bar{j}} := \frac{1}{2}c_{\{j\}\{k\}}$
for any $c_{\emptyset\{j,k\}} > 0$	$ar{j}k,ar{k}j$	$w_{\bar{j}k} := w_{\bar{k}j} := \frac{1}{2} c_{\emptyset\{j,k\}}$

