

Machine Learning I

Bjoern Andres, Shengxian Zhao

Machine Learning for Computer Vision
TU Dresden



Winter Term 2022/2023

Supervised Learning of Disjunctive Normal Forms

Contents. This part of the course is about a special case of supervised learning: the supervised learning of disjunctive normal forms.

- ▶ We state the problem by defining labeled data, a family of functions, a regularizer and a loss function
- ▶ We prove that the problem is hard to solve (technically: NP-hard), by relating it to the well-known set cover problem.

Supervised Learning of Disjunctive Normal Forms

7	1	0	6	2	0	6	2
3	8	0	0	8	8	4	7
5	8	7	3	9	0	5	8
1	5	0	2	8	4	2	3
0	4	3	9	8	2	1	8
5	0	1	6	6	5	5	2
1	7	7	1	2	3	7	3
6	3	7	6	0	1	4	0

S

→

(0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9)

Supervised Learning of Disjunctive Normal Forms

7	1	0	6	2	0	6	2
3	8	0	0	8	8	4	7
5	8	7	3	9	0	5	8
1	5	0	2	8	4	2	3
0	4	3	9	8	2	1	8
5	0	1	6	6	5	5	2
1	7	7	1	2	3	7	3
6	3	7	6	0	1	4	0

S

→

$\left(\begin{array}{l} 0 \text{ not the digit 7} \\ 1 \text{ the digit 7} \end{array} \right)$

Supervised Learning of Disjunctive Normal Forms

Data

We consider binary attributes. More specifically, we consider some finite, non-empty set V , called the set of **attributes**, and labeled data $T = (S, X, x, y)$ such that $X = \{0, 1\}^V$.

Hence, $x: S \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^V$ and $y: S \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$.

Family of functions

Let $\Gamma = \{(V_0, V_1) \in 2^V \times 2^V \mid V_0 \cap V_1 = \emptyset\}$ and $\Theta = 2^\Gamma$.

Definition. For any $\theta \in \Theta$ and the $f_\theta: \{0, 1\}^V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$\forall x \in \{0, 1\}^V: \quad f_\theta(x) = \bigvee_{(V_0, V_1) \in \theta} \prod_{v \in V_0} (1 - x_v) \prod_{v \in V_1} x_v, \quad (1)$$

the form on the r.h.s. of (1) is called the **disjunctive normal form (DNF)** defined by V and θ . The function f_θ is said to be defined by the DNF.

Example. $\{(\emptyset, \{v_1, v_2\}), (\{v_1\}, \{v_3\})\} = \theta \in \Theta$ defines the function

$$f_\theta(x) = x_{v_1} x_{v_2} \vee (1 - x_{v_1}) x_{v_3}. \quad (2)$$

Regularization

In order to quantify the complexity of DNFs, we consider the following regularizers.

Definition. The functions $R_d, R_l : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ whose values are defined below for any $\theta \in \Theta$ are called the **depth** and **length**, resp., of the DNF defined by θ .

$$R_d(\theta) = \max_{(V_0, V_1) \in \theta} (|V_0| + |V_1|) \quad (3)$$

$$R_l(\theta) = \sum_{(V_0, V_1) \in \theta} (|V_0| + |V_1|) \quad (4)$$

Supervised Learning of Disjunctive Normal Forms

Loss function

We consider the **0/1-loss** L , i.e.

$$\forall r \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall \hat{y} \in \{0, 1\}: \quad L(r, \hat{y}) = \begin{cases} 0 & r = \hat{y} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} . \quad (5)$$

Definition. For any $R \in \{R_l, R_d\}$ and any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$, the instance of the **supervised learning problem of DNFs** with respect to T, L, R and λ has the form

$$\min_{\theta \in \Theta} \lambda R(\theta) + \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{s \in S} L(f_\theta(x_s), y_s) \quad (6)$$

Definition. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The instance of the **bounded depth DNF problem** w.r.t. T and m is to decide whether there exists a $\theta \in \Theta$ such that

$$R_d(\theta) \leq m \quad (7)$$

$$\forall s \in S: f_\theta(x_s) = y_s \ . \quad (8)$$

The instance of the **bounded length DNF problem** w.r.t. T and m is to decide whether there exists a $\theta \in \Theta$ such that

$$R_l(\theta) \leq m \quad (9)$$

$$\forall s \in S: f_\theta(x_s) = y_s \ . \quad (10)$$

Supervised Learning of Disjunctive Normal Forms

Next, we will reduce the hard-to-solve (technically: NP-hard) set cover problem to the bounded length/depth DNF problem, thereby showing that these problems are hard to solve (NP-hard) as well. The reduction is by Haussler (1988).

Definition. For any set S and any $\emptyset \neq \Sigma \subseteq 2^S$, the set Σ is called a **cover** of S iff

$$\bigcup_{U \in \Sigma} U = S . \quad (11)$$

Definition. Let S be any set, let $\emptyset \neq \Sigma \subseteq 2^S$ and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Deciding whether there exists a $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$ such that Σ' is a cover of S , and $|\Sigma'| \leq m$ is called the instance of the **set cover problem** with respect to S , Σ and m .

Definition. For any instance (S', Σ, m) of the set cover problem, the **Hausser data** induced by (S', Σ, m) is the labeled data (S, X, x, y) such that

- ▶ $S = S' \cup \{1\}$
- ▶ $X = \{0, 1\}^\Sigma$
- ▶ $x_1 = 1^\Sigma$ and

$$\forall s \in S' \forall \sigma \in \Sigma: \quad x_s(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \in \sigma \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

- ▶ $y_1 = 1$ and $\forall s \in S': y_s = 0$

Lemma 2: For any instance (S', Σ, m) of the set cover problem, the Hausser data (S, X, x, y) induced by (S', Σ, m) , and any $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$:

$$\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} \sigma = S' \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \forall s \in S': \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} x_s(\sigma) = 0$$

Proof.

$$\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} \sigma = S' \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \forall s \in S' \exists \sigma \in \Sigma': s \in \sigma \quad (13)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall s \in S' \exists \sigma \in \Sigma': x_s(\sigma) = 1 \quad (14)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall s \in S': \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} x_s(\sigma) = 1 \quad (15)$$

Theorem 1. The set cover problem is reducible to the bounded depth/length DNF problem.

Proof. The proof is for any $R \in \{R_d, R_l\}$.

Let (S', Σ, m) any instance of the set cover problem.

Let $T = (S, X, x, y)$ the Hausler data induced by (S', Σ, m) .

We show: There exists a cover $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$ of S' with $|\Sigma'| \leq m$ iff there exists a $\theta \in \Theta$ such that $R(\theta) \leq m$ and $\forall s \in S: f_\theta(x_s) = y_s$.

(\Rightarrow) Let $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$ a cover of S and $|\Sigma'| \leq m$.

Let $V_0 = \emptyset$ and $V_1 = \Sigma'$ and $\theta = \{(V_0, V_1)\}$. Thus,

$$\forall x' \in X: f_\theta(x') = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} x'(\sigma) \quad (16)$$

On the one hand, $\forall s \in S': f(x_s) = 0$, by Lemma 2, and $f(1^\Sigma) = 1$, by definition of f_θ . Thus, $\forall s \in S: f(x_s) = y_s$.

On the other hand, $R(\theta) = |\Sigma'| \leq m$.

Supervised Learning of Disjunctive Normal Forms

(\Leftarrow) Let $\theta \in \Theta$ such that $R(\theta) \leq m$ and $\forall s \in S: f_\theta(x_s) = y_s$.

There exists a $(\Sigma_0, \Sigma_1) \in \theta$ such that $\Sigma_0 = \emptyset$, because

$1 = y_1 = f_\theta(x_1) = f_\theta(1^\Sigma)$. Moreover:

$$\forall s \in S': f(x_s) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall s \in S': \bigvee_{(V_0, V_1) \in \theta} \prod_{v \in V_0} (1 - x_s(v)) \prod_{v \in V_1} x_s(v) = 0 \quad (17)$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall s \in S' \forall (V_0, V_1) \in \theta: \prod_{v \in V_0} (1 - x_s(v)) \prod_{v \in V_1} x_s(v) = 0 \quad (18)$$

Thus, for $(\emptyset, \Sigma_1) \in \theta$ in particular:

$$\forall s \in S': \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_1} x_s(\sigma) = 0 \quad (19)$$

And by virtue of Lemma 2:

$$\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma_1} \sigma = S' \quad (20)$$

Furthermore, $|\Sigma_1| \leq R(\theta) = m$. □

Supervised Learning of Disjunctive Normal Forms

Summary: Supervised learning of DNFs is hard. More specifically, the NP-hard set cover problem is reducible to the bounded length/depth DNF problem by construction of Haussler data.